

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TAIFUSIN CHIU,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE US, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-0407-TLN-SCR

ORDER

Plaintiff Taifusin Chiu (“Plaintiff”), proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action which was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

On February 7, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 3.) This deadline has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed *de novo* by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]”). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 7, 2025 (ECF No. 3), are ADOPTED in
3 full;

4 2. Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED without leave to amend; and

5 3. The Clerk is directed to enter Judgment and close this case.

6 Date: March 28, 2025

7
8 
9
10 TROY L. NUNLEY
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28